

Association of Salmon Fishery Boards

Comments on 'The Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2011 - Proposed Amendments to General Binding Rules' April 2012

Introduction

The Association of Salmon Fishery Boards is the representative body for Scotland's 41 District Salmon Fishery Boards (DSFBs) including the River Tweed Commission (RTC), which have a statutory responsibility to protect and improve salmon and sea trout fisheries. The Association and Boards work to create the environment in which sustainable fisheries for salmon and sea trout can be enjoyed. Conservation of fish stocks, and the habitats on which they depend, is essential and many DSFB's operate riparian habitat enhancement schemes and have voluntarily adopted 'catch and release' practices, which in some cases are made mandatory by the introduction of Salmon Conservation Regulations. ASFB creates policies that seek where possible to protect wider biodiversity and our environment as well as enhancing the economic benefits for our rural economy that result from angling. An analysis completed in 2004 demonstrated that freshwater angling in Scotland results in the Scottish economy producing over £100 million worth of annual output, which supports around 2,800 jobs and generates nearly £50million in wages and self-employment into Scottish households, most of which are in rural areas.

We welcome the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to the General Binding Rules. We set out our comments on each of the proposals below.

Specific Comments

Proposed revision of GBR18 – fertiliser use

We support the proposed amendments to GBR18 and welcome the resulting consistency with cross compliance requirements.

Proposed revision of GBR23 - pesticide use

We support the proposed amendments to GBR23 and the resulting likelihood of a reduction in the entry of pesticides into the water environment. However, we believe that the minimum requirement should be 2m rather than 1m to be consistent with the GBR20 no-cultivation zone.

Proposed revision of GBR3 – construction of a borehole Proposed revision of GBR4 – abstraction from a borehole

We support these proposed amendments.

Proposed revision of GBR6 – surface water outfalls Proposed revision of GBR10 – surface water outfalls

We welcome the revision of these GBRs to address issues relating to the design and construction of outfalls from surface water drainage systems. We seek reassurance that the removal of GBR10, rule (h) (construction or maintenance of the outfall must not result in pollution of the water environment) has not been lost, as we do not believe that this is picked up in the proposed amendments to GBR6.

Proposed revision of GBR13 - removal of sediment

We have significant concerns regarding this GBR and would make the point that under Section 23(2) of the Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries (Consolidation) (Scotland) Act 2003, any person who knowingly: injures or disturbs any salmon spawn; or disturbs any spawning bed or any bank or shallow in which the spawn of salmon may be, is committing a criminal offence. We would emphasise that it is the responsibility of the individual seeking to remove sediment to ascertain if it is a salmon spawning ground. We therefore believe that the local District Salmon Fishery Board or Fishery Trust should be consulted prior to any such work and this recommendation should be included in any guidance that accompanies the proposed revisions.

We understand the thinking behind the requirement to return any sediment to the river, burn or ditch from which it was removed. The impact/benefit of such a course of action is likely to vary on a case by case basis, and in some instances may be potentially damaging and/or have the effect of simply displacing issues relating to sediment further downstream. Given this uncertainty, we would question the suitability of authorising such a course of action by a GBR. We are also concerned at the potential for cumulative impacts on spawning beds if such activities occur on multiple occasions in a specific water body. Again, we would recommend that the local District Salmon Fishery Board or Fishery Trust be consulted prior to any such work and this recommendation should be included in any guidance that accompanies the proposed revisions.

We believe that Rule (i) should be broadened to include the following text '...or contribute to the spread of invasive non-native species'.

Finally, we do not understand why, in rule (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) the word 'must' in the existing regulations has been replaced with 'shall'. We seek reassurance that this change in terminology will not materially alter the legal standing of this GBR.

Proposed revision of GBR19 – keeping of livestock

Whilst we support the intention behind this proposal, we believe that livestock feeders should be positioned in such a way as to prevent poaching and pollution of the water environment. The existing wording might be thought to imply that some poaching around a feeder is acceptable.

Proposed revision of GBR20 - cultivation of land

We support the proposed amendment to clarify that the no-cultivation zone is from the top of the bank.

For further information please contact:

Alan Wells | Policy & Planning Director Tel: 0131 272 2797 | Email: alan@asfb.org.uk

RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

<u>Please Note</u> this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

1. Name/Organisation Organisation Name						
Association of Salmon Fishery Boards						
Title	Mr 🗌 Ms 🗌 Mrs	☐ Miss ☐	Dr ⊠	PI	ease tick as ap	propriate
Surname						
Wells						
Forename Alan						
Ala	<u>n</u>					
2. Postal Address						
Capital Business Centre						
24 Canning Street						
Edinburgh						
Postcode EH3 8EG Phone 0131			272 2797		Email alan@asfb.org.uk	
Tione of of 2			2122101	Linan diarredole.org.dix		
3. Permissions - I am responding as						
	Individua		Grou k as appropriat		rganisation	
(a)	Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site)?		(c)	The name and address of your organisation will be made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site).		
	Please tick as appropriate	Yes No				
(b)	Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public			Are you content for your <i>response</i> to be made available?		
	on the following basis Please tick ONE of the following	boxes		Pleas	se tick as appropriate	Yes No
	Yes, make my response, name address all available	e and		ricas	с иск из ирргоргис	
	Yes, make my response availa but not my name and address	ble, or				
	Yes, make my response and n available, but not my address					
	and a second second					
(d)	We will share your response in issues you discuss. They may Are you content for Scottish Go	wish to contact yo overnment to contact	u again in the fu act you again in	uture, l relatio	but we require your on to this consultation	permission to do so.
	Please tick	as annronriato	\times	Yes	No	